

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,) 8:08CR456
)
vs.) ORDER
)
JAMES A. HOWARD,)
)
Defendant.)

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant James A. Howard (Howard) (Filing No. 14). Howard seeks additional time in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Howard's counsel represents that Howard consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Howard's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration and for good cause shown, the motion will be granted.

IT IS ORDERED:

Defendant Howard's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 14) is granted. Howard is given until **on or before January 20, 2009**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **January 13, 2009 and January 20, 2009**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 13th day of January, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge